## INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: FILE NUMBER:	St Paul District 05-1046-DJP	
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Dale J. Pfeiffle	Date: March 4, 2005
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLET	ED: In the office <u>Y</u> (Y/N) At the project site (Y/N	Date: <u>March 4, 2005</u> Double:
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:		
State:	Wiscon	<u>ısin</u>
County:	Wauk	esha
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longit	udinal coordinates: 42.986	<del>7765</del> 117N, 88.4224726112W
Approximate size of site/property (including t	uplands & in acres): 25	
Name of waterway or watershed:		Rock, Illinois, Wisconsin
CITE CONDITIONS.		

## SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands		X							
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
Check appropriate boxes that be	st deseribe	two of ico	loted non	novigoble in	tro state wat	or present on	d best estima	to for size of	non

jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :	If Known Use Best Professional Judgment		<del></del>		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat by othermigratory birds that cross state lines?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?				X	
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?				X	

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

## TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

	Preliminary _	Or	Approved _	Χ.
--	---------------	----	------------	----

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., discussion may include information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections - 1 to 3 paragraphs): A wetland delineation was received for review and concurrence for a 25 acre parcel that is under consideration for additional residential development. Two single family homes are currently located at the site.

The wetland delineation report identified 4 separate wetlands on the subject property. A review of the local soil survey indicates that the property is located within a kettle-moraine complex consisting of upland soil series with B to E slopes surrounding wetlands confined to "kettles". A review of available aerial photography failed to identify any surface water connections between the "kettle" wetlands and local waters of the US. None of the maps reviewed identified any streams on or adjacent to the property. The wetlands on the subject property are not located near a water of the US and therefore are not adjacent waters. In addition, a nexus with interstate commerce could not be found.